

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN INQUIRY INTO INTRALATA TOLL)	
COMPETITION, AN APPROPRIATE)	
COMPENSATION SCHEME FOR COMPLETION)	ADMINISTRATIVE
OF INTRALATA CALLS BY INTEREXCHANGE)	CASE NO. 323
CARRIERS, AND WATS JURISDICTIONALITY)	

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that US Sprint shall file the original and 12 copies of the following information with the Commission, with a copy to all parties of record, by May 5, 1989. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure that it is legible. If the information cannot be provided by this date, US Sprint should submit a motion for an extension of time stating the reason delay is necessary and include a date by which it will be furnished. Such motion will be considered by the Commission.

Questions for Mark Sievers

1. On page 3 of your testimony, you state that "US Sprint believes that the benefits of allowing intraLATA competition

outweigh the potential associated social costs." Identify the social costs.

2. On page 3 of your testimony, you state that the "Commission should also recognize that as a practical matter it may not be possible to prevent entrepreneurs and telephone customers from providing intraLATA services." Give your reasons for this statement.

3. On page 8 of your testimony, you state that "LECs should be required to impute access charges to their intraLATA toll rates." Provide the justification for this statement.

4. On page 10 of your testimony, you state that "Any analysis of the revenue impacts associated with the introduction of intraLATA competition should include a price elasticity study for each intraLATA service." Are you aware of price elasticity studies that have been conducted by other states? If yes, provide the results.

5. On page 16 of your testimony, you state that if the Commission rejects the joint motion, US Sprint urges that it consider implementing an appropriate intraLATA access charge discount. Describe the economic justification for providing this discount.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 21st day of April, 1989.

ATTEST:

Executive Director

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



For the Commission